

# AO Simplification: The Quicklist

#### Paul Hertz

Senior Advisor, Science Mission Directorate

NASA Headquarters

paul.hertz@nasa.gov

aosimplify @ nasa.gov



#### Three Lists

- Quicklist: Changes that the AO Simplification Study
   Team believes will remove considerable burden from the
   proposing community with <u>little to no reduction in proposal</u>
   quality or significantly impact risk evaluation needs.
- Potential AO Changes: Changes that potentially could remove proposal submission burden from the community, but might well affect the quality of the proposals and/or significantly affect evaluation needs. These changes require additional study before implementing them.
- Potential Process Changes: Changes far beyond the charter of the AO Simplification Study Team that require significant study to understand their advantages vs impact.



# Warning & Metric

These are the preliminary thoughts of the AO Simplification Study Team and have not been approved by NASA.

#### Assessment standards for suggestions

- 1. Will it reduce work for the proposer?
- 2. What is the downside risk?
- 3. Is it a good idea?



## Quicklist

- Consolidate and Number all Requirements. Clearly distinguish (i) requirements that must be addressed in Step 1 proposals from (ii) requirements on selected missions that do not have to be addressed in Step 1 proposals
- Clarify Evaluation Factors. Include very specific definitions of each criterion and factor to clarify what is being evaluated.
- 3. Standardize the Format of all AO's. Only a limited number of requirements can be modified from AO to AO.



## Quicklist

- 4. Remove Missions of Opportunity (MOs): Solicit only missions or instruments with each AO and solicit MOs via SALMON.
- 5. Treat Launch Services as GFE outside the AO cost cap: Remove ELV and Launch Services from the AO cost cap and hold this funding separately at HQ.
- 6. Reduced requirements for the Letters of Commitment and Letters of Endorsement for coinvestigators: State the objectives for Letters in Step 1 proposal and meet them. Full Letters can be delayed until the Step 2 CSR.



## Quicklist

- 7. Remove Education and Public Outreach (EPO) and Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) Requirements: No discussion of these areas would be required in Step 1 proposals, only a statement of commitment (one sentence) would be required.
- 8. Remove Orbital Debris Requirement: Only an acknowledgement of the requirement would be required in the Step 1 proposal.
- 9. Remove the Compliance Checklist Requirement: The Compliance Checklist would still be shown in the AO, but NASA would complete this checklist and not require it of the proposer.



# Potential AO Changes

- Remove Phase A Statements of Work (SOWs)

  Requirements. Impact: Submission and evaluation of Phase A SOWs after selection will delay the award of Phase A contracts.
- Accept Wider Error Bars for TMC Evaluation. Impact: NASA must be willing to accept more risk that initial selections cannot be realized as proposed within the cost cap.
- Over-Selection. Impact: Lower downselection rate might make investment in Step 2 studies unattractive to mission partners.
- Real Year (RY) Dollars. Impact: Unintended impacts on mission proposals if a range of start dates or launch dates is contemplated in the AO.
- **Delete Funding Profile Requirement.** Impact: Funding would have to be negotiated if selected to fit within NASA's available 7 funding profile.



# Potential AO Changes

- Remove Planetary Protection Requirement. Impact: Mission architecture might be unimplementable.
- Reduce Communications and Tracking Requirements. Impact: Mission architecture might be unimplementable.
- Standardize and Clarify Requirements for Foreign Contributions. Impact: Partnership might not materialize.
- Disallow Export Controlled Information in Step 1
  Proposals. Impact: It is not clear that a legitimate response can be made for full missions without including export controlled information.
- Standardize the Expected Format of All Proposals.

  Impact: Could impact the flexibility that the community now has to provide the required information in the manner they think best fits their proposal.



# Potential AO Changes

- Terminate Submission of Paper Proposals. Impact: Lack of paper copies could be unpopular with reviewers.
- Simplify/Clarify/Standardize the NSPIRES Interface. Note: Some changes beyond the control of the AO.



# **Process Changes**

#### Topic deferred until Friday morning

- Process Change from 2 Step: Consider 1-Step
   (includes NASA/Proposer interface in Step 1) and 3-Step
   with a new Step Zero which would be to determine
   Science interest only.
- Increase Lead Time for Proposing: NASA to broadcast clearly their intentions to solicit as far in advance of the actual AO release as possible.
- Extended Phase A: A longer, better funded concept development period before entering the preliminary design phase (Phase B).